Exhibit 1

Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 CASE NO. 18-MD-2865 (LAK) 3 IN RE: 4 CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF 5 THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX REFUND 6 SCHEME LITIGATION 7 This document relates to case nos. 8 19-cv-01783; 19-cv-01788; 19-cv-01794; 19-cv-01798; 19-cv-01918 9 10 11 12 13 14 REMOTE VTC VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UNDER ORAL 15 **EXAMINATION OF** ROBERT KLUGMAN 16 17 DATE: January 28, 2021 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 REPORTED BY: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, CCR

Page 231

1	Q It could or it would?
2	A Well, it depends on how the records
3	are prepared. I don't know what you know.
4	Q Well, any records that show cash
5	payments received, then the dividend should
6	show up.
7	Right?
8	A It should show up, yes, unless
9	there's a netting arrangement where they
10	don't actually receive the cash.
11	Q And what kind of netting
12	arrangement would that be?
13	A Any netting arrangement. If one
14	party owes the other money, and the other
15	party owes money back, you can just say,
16	"okay, no flows." I'm using a simplified
17	example.
18	But yeah, it doesn't necessarily
19	have to have cash flow to be entitled to a
20	cash payment. There's netting in financial
21	markets.
22	Q Right. But we're talking about
23	plans now.
24	What offsetting payment was due
25	from any plan that would cause the net

Page 232

1 dividend to be zeroed out? 2 I don't know. It could be a 3 mark-to-market payment. I don't know. 4 I don't know the answer. 5 It could be a mark-to-market payment? 6 7 Α Yeah. A mark-to-market payment is an 8 Q 9 adjustment in value based on a fluctuation in 10 market price. 11 Right? 12 Α That's right. 13 So it would be an extraordinary coincidence if that adjustment of market 14 15 price happened to equal and exactly offset 16 3,869,527 kroner and 42 cents? 17 Α Not necessarily. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 18 19 4:57 p.m. and we're going off the 20 record. 21 (Whereupon a discussion was held 22 off the record.) 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. The 24 time is 4:59 p.m. and we're back on 25 record.

Page 233

1 Q So, sir, we were just discussing 2 what circumstances would cause the net amount 3 of the dividend to be zeroed out on the 4 plan's records so that the entire and exact 5 amount of the dividend would not show up. And I think you had mentioned --6 7 was it mark-to-market? 8 Α Yeah. Well, basically, you have a 9 transaction that's perfectly hedged. 10 So, in a perfectly hedged 11 transaction, you shouldn't be receiving free 12 cash flow of a dividend. It has to go 13 somewhere else. 14 If not, then you're just -- the 15 arbitrage is even bigger. You can't just --16 you know, there has to be an offset in value to the dividend. 17 18 So can you explain where is that 19 "somewhere else?" What is that offset? 20 Well, I'm -- I'm having trouble, 21 but if you look at the three legs of the 22 transaction, you have a stock purchase, a 23 forward, and a forward sale. And the forward 24 sale price, ignoring -- this is just, in 25 general, how forwards are priced when you

Page 234

1 ignore -- just ignoring interest rates and 2 fees for a second -- the forward price will 3 reflect the price on the date of the forward. 4 less expected dividends. So there's -- between the forward 5 and the purchase, there's a loss. And that 6 7 loss is offset equally and exactly by that dividend amount, ignoring the bits of fees 8 9 and interest rate. But yeah. 10 So if that's a perfect match, 11 ignoring all of the transactional costs and 12 the interest, then you're saying the dividend 13 simply disappears? 14 MR. ALLISON: Object to form. 15 I'm not saving the dividend 16 disappears, no. I'm saying that, overall, the dividend is -- is netted out from net 17 18 cash flows. 19 Q Netted to zero? 20 Α Along with all the other 21 transactions, yes. In the same way that when 22 you -- you know, if you buy the shares, and 23 then you receive money to pay for them, that 24 all nets out to zero. 25 And that's because, what, the price